

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/11/2003 David Neff MLCZ 2 00106 10/659,817 5262 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/29/2005 Scott A. McCollister KASTLER, SCOTT R Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2518 1742

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/659,817	NEFF, DAVID
Examiner	Art Unit
Scott Kastler	1742

	Scott Kastler	1742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	126(a) and the appropria	uto ovtonsion foe	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>19 September 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	. will not be entered b	ecause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)): the rejection under 35 USC 102(b	o) of claims 4 and 5.		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 11-19</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)		
13. Other:	• •	\sqrt{N}		
•				
		Scott Kastler		

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the shape of the filter does impart new and improved results are not persuasive because no proper showing of improved results has yet been presented. Applicant's further argument that Stankiewitcz does not teachg a dosing tube is not persuasive because as stated in the final rejection, without any recitation of either the dosing tube structure or recitation of the specific manner in which the filter structure is dimensioned, any configuration of filter would meet these claim limitations.